## REMARKS

In an Advisory Action made of record in the application, the subject matter of claims 24, 26, 27 and 32 through 35 has been indicated as allowable. Accordingly, the Applicant requests entry of the foregoing Amendment. The Applicant believes entry of the Amendment places all claims asserted in the Amendment in condition for allowance.

Claim 23 amended herein incorporates the subject matter of claim 32, the subject matter being identified in the Advisory Action as being allowable since claim 23 amended is an independent claim. Claims 33 through 35 depend either directly or indirectly from amended claim 23. Claims 36 through 38 depend from amended claim 23.

New claim 44 places in independent form the subject matter of now-canceled claim 24, the subject matter of claim 24 being indicated as allowable in the Advisory Action. Claims 28 and 30 depend from allowable independent claim 44.

New claim 45 places in independent form the subject matter of now-canceled claim 26, this subject matter being indicated as allowable in the Advisory Action.

New claim 46 places in independent form the subject matter of now-canceled claim 27, this subject matter being indicated as allowable in the Advisory Action. Claim 31 depends from new claim 46.

Entry of the Amendment will result in a total of thirteen (13) claims in the application as amended, a total of twenty-one (21) claims being present in the application prior to presentation of the Amendment. Accordingly, the total number of claims is reduced, no new issues are raised and a new search will not be required because of entry of the Amendment.

.

Objection to claims 23, 27 and 31 due to an alleged informality has been withdrawn in a previous Advisory Action.

Rejection of claim 33 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, is believed to be obviated by lines 18-20 of page 5 of the original specification, these lines very clearly disclosing an object of the invention to be "a target and/or projectile for throwing against the target and wherein either or both of the target or the projectile are provided with a normally quiescent aromatherapeutic agent releasable on percussive contact between the target and the projectile". Figure 1 of the original drawings illustrates a target having an aromatherapeutic material disposed thereon. Figure 2 of the original drawings illustrates a projectile having an aromatherapeutic material disposed thereon. The original disclosure on page 5 inter alia clearly discloses the subject matter of claim 33. The examiner must correct his error by withdrawing the rejection of claim 33 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph.

Entry of the Amendment and allowance of claims 23, 28, 30, 31, 33 through 38 and 44 through 46 is requested.

Respectfully submitted.

Kenneth E. Darnell

Reg. No. 26541

2010 West 7<sup>th</sup> Street Coffeyville, Kansas 67337 620 251 1947